

2023 Galing Pook Awards Search for the Top 10 Outstanding Local Governance Programs Application Form and Guidelines

The Galing Pook Awards

The Galing Pook Awards was launched on October 21, 1993 as a pioneering program that searches for and recognizes innovative practices by local government units. LGU finalists with outstanding initiatives are carefully selected and winners are recognized in a very prestigious awarding ceremony.

The awardees of the Galing Pook are chosen from a national search of local governance programs, evaluated through a rigorous screening process, using the following selection criteria: (1) positive results and impact, (2) promotion of people's participation and empowerment, (3) innovation and adaptation, (4) resilience, (5) sustainability, and (6) efficiency of program service delivery and transferability.

Beyond the awards, winning programs become models of good governance promoted for adoption in other communities. They provide useful insights and strategies to find innovative solutions to common problems. More importantly, they affirm the community and the local government's commitment to good governance.

Since the Galing Pook Awards was launched in 1993, 339 programs from more than 200 local governments won the Galing Pook Award. These awardees became important advocates in inspiring other local governments to introduce innovative practices and provide a fertile environment for the replication of successful reforms.

Selection Criteria

Positive Results and Impact	(25%)
Promotion of People's Participation and Empowerment	(25%)
Innovation and Adaptation	(20%)
Resilience	(10%)
Sustainability	(10%)
Efficiency of Program Service Delivery and Transferability	(10%)

Selection Process

Eligibility Screening: The Galing Pook Foundation (GPF) secretariat reviews whether the program submissions meet the eligibility criteria.

First Screening: The National Selection Committee (NSC) goes over eligible applications to select programs with high potential. A further cut is made to select programs for validation.

Validation: Assigned NSC members and GPF personnel validate program claims and clarify concerns raised in the previous screening.

Validation Result Deliberation: The NSC reconvenes to deliberate on the results of the validation and to select the programs to be subjected to the final presentation.

Final Presentation and Panel Interview: The local chief executives (LCEs) and/or program officers of qualified entries present and defend their programs before the Final Board of Judges. The Board of Judges then conducts a separate deliberation to select the Ten Outstanding Local Governance Programs.

Applying for a Galing Pook Award

Eligibility Criteria

For your program to be considered for the Galing Pook Awards, it must meet the following minimum requirements:

- It must have involved or engaged processes within a local government unit (barangay, municipality, city, or province) even if the program might have been initiated (either independently or jointly) by an NGO, CSO and/or an LGU.
- It must have been in operation for at least one year before the deadline of submission.
- It must have verifiable and significant results.

General Guidelines

- Submission of more than one entry is allowed as long as the entries are not inter-related. You are encouraged to package the programs that are directly connected as one comprehensive entry.
- In case more than one entry from one LGU reaches the finals, only one can enter the Top 10.
- Galing Pook will also endeavor to allocate two spots each in the Top 10 for provinces, cities, municipalities, and barangays, with the remaining spots for wildcard entries (open to all LGU types). However, although spots are allocated, entries must still meet the selection criteria. Thus, when no LGU meets the criteria to be able to land a spot in their LGU type, the spots allocated for them will be added to the wildcard spots and open to all other LGUs that are more qualified.
- Please visit our website, <u>www.galingpook.org</u> to learn more about our previous winners and if your entry is similar, please highlight what makes your program different or better.
- Before submitting your full application, you may submit your Expression of Interest to Join where you will
 indicate a very brief description of the program you would like to submit. You may also directly proceed to
 the full application.
- Provide all the required information.
- Your responses may be in English or Filipino.
- For parts that require narratives, please be concise and straightforward in your answers. A character limit will be imposed on each part of the form.
- Deadline of submission of the full application is extended until June 10, 2023 provided you submit the Expression of Interest on or before May 31, 2023.
- For questions, email us at <u>galingpookawards@gmail.com</u>.

Expression of Interest to Join

Access online form at: bit.ly/2023GalingPookAwardsEOI

LGU: Program Title: Date of Start of the Program: Brief Description: Local Chief Executive: Email Address: Phone Number: Program Manager: Email Address: Phone Number:

Contents of the Application Form/Program Entry

To be submitted officially through the full application form link at bit.ly/2023GalingPookAwards

Part 1: General Information on the Program

- 1. Program Title (for long titles, kindly provide an alternative, shorter title)
- 2. Local Government Unit Implementing the Program
- 3. Program Category (select the closest one):
 - a. Agriculture
 - b. Disaster Risk Reduction and Management / Climate Change Adaptation
 - c. Education
 - d. Energy Development
 - e. Environment
 - f. Health
 - g. Housing
 - h. Infrastructure Development
 - i. Local Administration and Management
 - j. Local Economic Development
 - k. Security, Peace and Development
 - I. Social Welfare
 - m. Youth Development
 - n. Others: ____
- 4. LGU Type (Province, City, Municipality, Barangay, Inter-LGU)
- 5. Region
- 6. Island Group
- 7. Income Classification of the LGU (for barangay, indicate whether urban or rural; for inter-LGU: indicate that of the LGU that hosts the secretariat or PMO)
- 8. Population of the LGU (indicate latest data available, year, and source)
- 9. Number of Direct Beneficiaries of the Program (e.g. 100 fisherfolk, 500 farmers)
- 10. Number of Indirect Beneficiaries of the Program (optional)
- 11. Date of the Start of the Program
- 12. Financial Profile of the Program:

Source of Funds Expense Items	Actual Expenses			Budget	
	Expense items	2020	2021	2022	2023
Total					

- Add more rows as necessary but limit the financial profile to one page only.
- For programs below three years, remove the columns for the years without financial information.
- For programs that are more than three years, you may opt to add significant earlier information.

Part 2: Program Brief (3,500 character limit on Google Form)

Please briefly illustrate your program.

Include the following in your discussion:

- a. beneficiaries' needs/problems that the program addressed
- b. the objectives of the program
- c. the corresponding strategies to meet such objectives

Part 3: Positive Results and Impact (3,500 character limit on Google Form for the narrative, with option to add one page containing graphs, tables, and charts)

Please present your program's impact/accomplishments. In your discussion, please show figures and statistics to support your assessment (e.g. increase in annual household income from P80,000 to P140,000). Please present significant program outcomes aside from outputs.

You may refer to the following questions in answering this:

- a. What are the outcomes of your program in terms of changes in individuals, systems, or policies? Please show numerical measures of outcome/s.
- b. What is the significant impact on your program's direct beneficiaries?
- c. How has the quality of life changed because of the program?
- d. Is there any affirmative action on the marginalized?

Part 4: Promotion of People's Participation and Empowerment (3,500 character limit on Google Form)

Please highlight your program's participatory processes or mechanisms that engage the different stakeholders to be involved in the program's planning, implementation, and monitoring/evaluation.

Discuss also the community's contributions (i.e. time, funds, labor, land, etc.) that developed their sense of ownership of the program, if applicable.

You may refer to the following questions in answering this:

- a. Are there opportunities for community participation? What are these?
- b. Is there an organized and regular provision of community counterpart whether cash or non-cash? Describe briefly.
- c. Is there a community-wide consultation in program planning, implementation, and evaluation?
- d. Is there an organized structure for community involvement such as membership in local boards or committees, office/desk for citizens' concerns, and the like?
- e. Do citizens influence decisions?
- f. Are there mechanisms to hold LGUs accountable? What are these and how do these work?
- g. Is there participation of the private sector?
- h. Is there a mechanism for equity, and empowerment and inclusion of marginalized sectors?

Part 5: Innovation and Adaptation (3,500 character limit on Google Form)

Please discuss the innovation being introduced by the program. Innovation is typically understood as the successful introduction of something new, useful, and appropriate to the community's situation or condition; for example introducing new methods, techniques, or practices or new or improved products and services.

It can also be an improvement of something already existing. The innovation may be in the program itself, or in the processes used in implementing the program. If a similar concept has already been introduced or is used elsewhere, kindly explain the value added by the program for it to be deemed innovative.

In the organizational context, innovation may be linked to performance and growth through improvements in efficiency, productivity, quality, service delivery, etc. For innovation to occur, something more than the generation of a creative idea or insight is required: the insight must be put into action to make a genuine difference, resulting for example in new or improved processes within the organization, or changes in the services provided.

You may also consider the following in answering this section:

- a. Is the program a function of governance?
- b. Did you adopt an innovation and contextualize/adapt it to local conditions?
- c. Did you improve processes and delivery of an existing idea?

- d. What is the new idea/product/service introduced by the program? Did the program introduce a new way of doing things? What is the value-added of the program?
- e. Did the innovation transform the culture and governance in the program and the LGU?
- f. Are there technological and digital innovations introduced by the program? Is the program ICTenabled?

Part 6: Resilience (3,500 character limit on Google Form)

Please describe how the program is safeguarded from and is able to resist, absorb, or recover from risks brought about by disasters—whether natural or man-made, climate change, pandemics, cyber attacks, and other hazards.

You may consider the following guide questions:

- a. Is the program climate-proof?
- b. What mechanisms are in place and what have been done to ensure that the program headquarters, infrastructure, and other physical assets are resilient to calamities?
- c. Are there systems in place to ensure continuous or immediate resumption of operation of the program or delivery of essential services in times of disasters, or restrictions in movement caused by pandemics?
- d. For IT-enabled systems, what mechanisms are in place to prevent or recover from cyber attacks?
- e. Are there contingency plans in place in case of widescale energy disruption that could severely affect the program operations?

Part 7: Sustainability (3,500 character limit on Google Form)

Please describe the initiatives towards the institutionalization of the program (e.g. development and implementation of a program strategic plan, passage of ordinances, etc.) to ensure its sustainability even beyond the present administration and/or discontinuation of external assistance.

You may consider the following questions:

- a. Are there Executive Orders and/or ordinances enacted in relation to the program?
- b. Does the program have adequate documentation?
- c. Is there a manual of operation?
- d. Is the program included in the Annual Investment Plan or Local Development Plan?
- e. Is the program self-liquidating?

Part 8: Efficiency of Program Service Delivery and Transferability (3,500 character limit on Google Form)

Please describe how the program maximizes the use of resources (time, money, human resource, etc). Discuss how the program is able to do more for less, maximize outputs such as the volume of services provided, and minimize inputs such as the amount of resources or capital required to produce those services, and maintain or improve quality.

You may consider the following:

- a. Is the organizational structure of program management well-defined?
- b. Is there a system for monitoring and evaluation?
- c. Is return on investment well-established? How much is the cost per beneficiary?
- d. Is there an accountability system in place?

Also, please suggest approaches on how the program can be replicated in or transferred to other areas. Incorporate in your recommendations the difficulties and challenges you've faced in the program as well as the means you've employed to address them. If applicable, cite existing programs of other LGUs that have been modeled from your program.

You may consider the following:

- a. Is the program documentation easily accessible to other LGUs?
- b. Are there qualified personnel that have trained or can conduct training to counterparts from other LGUs?

- c. Are there training and replication activities done? What are these?
- d. Have other LGUs benchmarked your program for possible replication?
- e. Have you assisted other LGUs in replicating the program?
- f. How many LGUs have replicated your program? Which LGUs are these?

Attachments

- a. Program Organizational Structure
- b. Accomplished Form on Additional Data Requirements
- c. Five (5) Photos Exhibiting the Program's Activities and Impacts submit high resolution photos in JPG format (required, for upload using the Google Form)
- d. Short video (maximum of 3 minutes) showcasing your program.

ADDITIONAL DATA REQUIREMENTS

LGU: _____

Data Requirements	Please provide data for two years for comparison if possible			
Nos. 1 to 11 are available from the CBMS-Community Based Monitoring Survey which has been practiced up to the barangay level since FVR's timealso required by DILG. You may also use other official sources.	Indicate Year	Indicate Year	Source of Data	
1. Household with income below poverty threshold				
2. Percent of household with access to water in their own				
homes (level 3)				
3. Percent of household with water closet				
4. Number of full-time doctors in the LGU				
5. Number of full-time nurses in the LGU				
6. Population				
7. Participation rate in elementary schools (percent of children relative to their cohorts or children with similar age who are attending elementary school)-available from district supervisor				
8. Completion rate (percent of children who were enrolled				
in grade one who made it to grade six)-available from				
district supervisor				
9. Ratio of independent readers to total number of elementary students (available from supervisors using the results of PhillRI)				
10. Ratio of students who are enrolled in grade 7 as ratio				
of grade 6 enrolment (available from district supervisor)				
11. Percent of persons who were victims of crime or crime index, whichever is available				
Nos. 12 to 26 are all submitted to Bureau of Local Government Finance and COA and also required to be posted on the NET by DILG	Data for two years are required			
	Indicate Year	Indicate Year	Source of Data	
12. Total Revenues of LGU				
13. Percent of revenues from the Internal Revenue				
Allotment and grants in relation to total revenues				
14. Total revenues from Local Sources				
15. Percent of revenues from the real property tax in				
relation to total revenues				
16. Collection from the local business tax				

17. Percent of revenues from the local business tax in	
relation to total revenues	
18. Collection from fees and charges (including operations	
of economic enterprises)	
19. Percent of revenues from fees and charges in relation	
to total revenues	
20. Total Budget	
21. Percent of the Budget in Relation to Total Revenues	
22. Percent of Expenditures on Personal Services in	
relation to total budget	
23. Percent of Expenditures on MOOE in relation to the	
total budget	
24. Percent of Capital Expenditures in relation to the total	
budget	
25. Percent of Expenditures on Economic Services in	
relation to the total budget	
26. Percent of Expenditures on Social Services in relation	
to the total budget	
Other Information	
27. Percent of children who are stunted	
28. Infant mortality rate	
29. Maternal mortality rate	

Certified Correct:

Signature over Printed Name

Position

Contact Information

Provide the following information for both the Program Manager and the Local Chief Executive, and the encoder/respondent of your Google Form submission.

- Name
- Specific Position Title and Designation
- Complete Office Address (with postal code)
- Email Address (required)
- Contact Numbers (mobile number, landline, including your area code)

Certification of Accuracy

Please certify the accuracy of the information you've provided by copying the following statement:

"We certify that to the best of our knowledge, all of the information contained in this Application Form and annexes are true and accurate."

The Program Manager and the Local Chief Executive should sign below the statement.

